Consultation on guidelines on recommended standard licences, datasets and charging for the re-use of public sector information.

| Background Information | | |
|---|---|--|
| Please specify, which category of stakeholders you belong tomultiple choices reply-(compulsory) | Re-use expert | |
| Please provide your name, and where relevant the name of your organisation -open reply-(compulsory) | Timothy Vollmer, Creative Commons | |
| Please provide your e-mail address -open reply-(compulsory) | tvol@creativecommons.org | |
| Please provide your country of residence / establishment -single choice reply-(compulsory) | Other | |
| Please specify -open reply-(compulsory) | United States | |
| Recommended datasets | | |
| Release with highest priority -single choice reply- (optional) | 1 | |
| Release in a machine-readable format -single choice reply-(optional) | | |
| Release in an interoperable format -single choice reply-(optional) | | |
| Guarantee quality of datasets (regular updates, data accuracy) -single choice reply-(optional) | | |
| Other (please refer to the question below) -single choice reply-(optional) | 1 | |
| Please specify another consequence of characterising a dataset as a core oneopen reply-(optional) | Ensure core datasets are released under an open licence compliant with the Open Definition. Or exempt datasets from copyright once prioritized. | |
| High value for commercial re-use -single choice reply-(optional) | 1 | |
| High value for non-commercial re-use -single choice reply-(optional) | | |
| Other (please specify in the open comment box below) -single choice reply-(optional) | 1 | |
| Please specify other characteristics of a core dataset -open reply-(optional) | High value for transparency, a fundamental objective for release of PSI. | |
| Please indicate core datasets from the list below. For the purpose of this question core datasets are to be understood as those that should be released with | No opinion | |

| highest priority, in machine-readable and interoperable formats because of their particular value for improving democracy and/or encouraging innovationmultiple choices reply-(optional) | |
|--|---|
| Licensing | |
| Which option do you consider as preferable for re-use of public data in default cases? -single choice reply-(optional) | Other |
| Please specify -open reply-(optional) | Exempt all PSI from copyright regime by virtue of copyright amendment. |
| The Commission favours the least restrictive re-use regime possible. Which of the following conditions would you consider as generally compliant with this feature? | Obligation to acknowledge the source of data |
| -multiple choices reply-(optional) | |
| Are there any conditions that should be considered as 'black-listed' or 'hardcore' in default | Yes |
| (non-exceptional) cases because particularly harmful to re-use? | |
| -single choice reply-(optional) | |
| Please give example(s) -open reply-(optional) | NonCommercial conditions, NoDerivative conditions, No Redistribution |
| Are there any conditions that should be considered | Yes _ |
| as 'black-listed' or 'hardcore' in exceptional | Text |
| re-use cases because particularly harmful to re-use? | |
| -single choice reply-(optional) | |
| Please give example(s) -open reply-(optional) | ShareAlike licenses, unless uniform licence policies are adopted |
| Can any of the existing supranational (such as Creative Commons) or national licensing models be used as a basis for achieving interoperability at EU level? | Yes |
| -single choice reply-(optional) | |
| Please specify -single choice reply-(optional) | Creative Commons |
| Please specify the type of Creative Commons lic | ence that you think would be suitable for PSI re-useopen reply-(optional) |
| CC0 Public Domain Dedication; CC BY (only if CC0 not feasible) | |
| Is it justified to use different licences for commercial vs. non-commercial re-use? -single choice reply-(optional) | No |
| What are the necessary characteristics of an interoperable licence? | Machine-readability - Common terminology - A limited number of |

| -multiple choices reply-(optional) | allowed conditions - Common sets of conditions for specific datasets | |
|--|--|--|
| Please indicate the terms that would require a harmonised definition -open reply-(optional) | | |
| Are there any additional characteristics/issues to be addressed to ensure interoperability of licensing conditions? -single choice reply-(optional) | Yes | |
| Please specify -open reply-(optional) | Single point national coordination for licenses or coordination at EU level. | |
| Charging | | |
| Telecommunications costs -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Customer service -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Duplication -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Software licensing -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Database modification for dissemination -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Hardware enhancements for dissemination (capacity, ports) -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Value-added for dissemination (software enhancements, advertising) -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Database development -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Hardware -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Data creation/collection -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Data maintenance -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Archiving -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Other (please refer to the next question) -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Please specify what other elements can be considered when calculating the marginal cost of reproduction, provision and dissemination? -open reply-(optional) | | |
| Overhead costs -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Overnead costs -single choice reply-(optional) | | |

| Non-incremental database development -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
|--|------------|--|
| Non-incremental hardware costs -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Data maintenance -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Other -single choice reply-(optional) | no opinion | |
| Where full cost recovery is allowed under the Directive, what other elements can be included in the calculation of fees for re-use? -open reply-(optional) | | |
| At what level should Public Sector Bodies calculate their charges for re-use? -single choice reply-(optional) | No opinion | |
| In case of charges above marginal cost, what % above the fixed interest rate on the main refinancing operations set by the ECB (currently 0,5%) would you consider a reasonable return on investment? | No opinion | |
| -single choice reply-(optional) With regard to libraries, museums and archives, what cost elements should be considered when calculating the cost of preservation and rights clearance? -open reply-(optional) | | |
| | 1 | |
| Should public bodies publish their revenues from re-use? -single choice reply-(optional) | Yes | |
| On what basis? -single choice reply-(optional) | Yearly | |
| Additional comments | | |
| Would you have any other comments or input that you wish to give regarding the guidelines? -open reply-(optional) | | |
| More emphasis should be given to the interaction between PSI and: (a) copyright law (introduce PSI related exceptions instead of licensing) (b) cultural heritage laws (ensure they comply with PSI) | | |